

Educating for Quality Improvement & Patient Safety

Project Contributors

This is a UTHSCSA-sponsored multidisciplinary Quality Improvement Project by the

Departments of Orthopaedics and Infectious Disease

Team members include:

- Anil Dutta, MD: CS&E Participant, Principal Investigator
- Christina Brady, MD: CS&E Participant
- David Chee, MD: CS&E Participant
- Jorge Clint Deleon, Medical Student, Team Member
- Edna Cruz, M.Sc., RN, CPHQ, Facilitator

Sponsor Department:

- John Toohey, MD: Orthopaedic Surgery Residency Program Director
- Robert Quinn, MD: Orthopaedic Surgery Chairman
- Department of Infectious Disease and Infection Control
- Claudia Thames Ortho Clinic Manager



AIM Statement

Implement a program for preoperative antimicrobial prophylaxis to decrease gram-positive surgical site infections for total joint arthroplasties including shoulders, hips and knees from 09/2013 to 12/2013 with a goal compliance rate of 80%.



Project Milestones

•	Team Created	9/2014
٠	AIM statement created	9/2014
•	Weekly Team Meetings	9/2014-1/2015
•	Background Data, Brainstorm Sessions,	9/2014
	Workflow and Fishbone Analyses	
•	Interventions Implemented	9/2014-1/2015
•	Data Analysis	12/2014
•	CS&E Presentation	1/23/15

Why Implement A SSI Prevention Program?



- Surgical Site Infections (SSI) are a serious adverse event to patients and to their surgeons.
- Over the last decade, MRSA rates in the community and in healthcare settings have risen.
- There is continuing discussion to use legislative pressure for not reimbursing costs for hospital acquired infections, which would include surgical site infections

University Hospital Surgical Site Infections

- The National Healthcare Safety Network is a hospital acquired infection tracking network by the Centers for Disease Control
- In 2012-14, the rate of infections for hip and knee prostheses for University Hospital ranged from 2.3-3.8% (National rates 1-1.4%, other studies up to 5%)
- Of those infections, 62% were from *Staphylococcus aureus* and of those 38% were MRSA

Bundled Interventions

- Bundled interventions have been shown to be effective for reducing hospital acquired infections for Ventilator Associated Pneumonias and Central Line Associated Blood Stream Infections
- Similarly, bundled interventions for skin and nasal decolonization and antimicrobial prophylaxis for Staph aureus have demonstrated a decrease in SSI.
- Estimated a number needed to screen of 250 to prevent 1 surgical site infection.



Analysis of Contributing Factors to Surgical Site Infections



PLAN: Intervention

- All the Orthopedic Staff performing total joint arthroplasties of the shoulder, hip or knee will be asked to participate
- The STOP SSIs Algorithm, an evidence-based algorithm supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, was implemented
- During the preoperative visit a MRSA nasal screening will be taken and the patient will be sent home with a chlorohexadine pre-surgical scrub
- A medical assistant will follow up with the results of the study, and will contact the positively screened patients. A prescription for intranasal Mupirocin (Bactroban) will be provided. All patients will be asked to use the scrub the night before the surgery
- Data will be analyzed for efficacy of the program. Pending that analysis, we will decide if it should be continued

The STOP SSIs Algorithm



Current SSI prevention flowchart



Process Analysis Tools- Flowchart

Implementing a bundled SSI Prevention System

Resident to call Rx for



Process Analysis Tools- Flowchart

Forces to Implementing a SSI Prevention Program



+25

-20

Implementing SSI Prevention Program





Tools used for measurement

- List of all cases provided by the MARC
- Retrospective chart review of all patients to evaluate
 - MRSA prescreen
 - Rxs documented
 - Antibiotics given per anesthesia report at UH
- Weakness of tools
 - Only a portion of cases were done at UH
 - Can not document if chlorohexadine provided
 - Outside records not available

DO: Implementing the Change

9/2014: Orthopedic staff were asked to participate, Patient handouts were provided and medical assistant staff were taught how to do the MRSA nasal swab and to provide the scrub

Problems encountered:

- Staff not wanting to participate
- Lack of follow-up of studies or screening not being completed



Pre and Post Intervention SSI Rates

- 2.2% infection rate pre intervention in 2014
- Months without surgical site infections = 4 ± 1
- Post intervention 0% infection rate since September 2014, but sample size is small

Return on Investment- Costs to Consider

- Cost nasal mupirocin ~\$116.99
- UH MRSA Nasal Screen Culture \$110.00
- Vancomycin \$4.12/dose, Ancef \$3.00/dose
- Hibiclens soap \$5.00/bottle
- Number needed to screen 250 to prevent 1 SSI (2)

- Documented Average cost of single SSI \$10,000.00-\$26,000.00 (1)
- Can only estimate due to patient variability

-	In house days	~\$5,000.00
-	Imaging	~\$150.00
-	Cost additional surgery	~\$5,000.00
-	Antibiotic management	~\$3,000.00
-	Additional followup visit	~\$2,000.00

Preliminary cost estimate: ~\$15,150.00

(1) Scott, R.D. The Direct Medical Costs of Healthcare-Associated Infections in US Hospitals and the Benefits of Prevention. CDC. 3/2009.
(2) Lonneke, GM. Et al. Preventing Surgical-Site Infections in Nasal Carriers of Staphylococcus aureus. NEJM. 2010; 361;1

Return on Investment

To prevent one surgical site infection- Based on number needed to screen 250 to prevent 1 SSI:

Savings to hospital: ~\$15,000.00

Additional revenue to hospital:

(includes screening cost)

Cost to hospital:

~\$2,250.00

~\$27,500.00

(includes hibiclens soap, antibiotic, if not reimbursed)

ROI= ((Saving+New revenue)-cost))/cost

ROI=(15,000+27,500-2250)/2250

= +17.89

ACT: Sustaining the Results

- Continue with implementation until data can be analyzed to see if there is a decrease in surgical site infections (~6months)
- Our team will continue to meet monthly and send updates to staff
- If a concomitant decrease in surgical site infections is noted, will discuss changing policy to require implementing this program

Conclusion/What's Next

- Implementing a program for preoperative antimicrobial prophylaxis to decrease grampositive surgical site infections for total joint arthroplasties does improve compliance
- QI projects do not always save money
- Change in culture is very difficult
- Further data needs to be collected to complete an in depth cost-benefit analysis of this program

Thank you!



Educating for Quality Improvement & Patient Safety