#### Session #5

#### Susan Gerhardt, Jason Gourlas, John Myers





alang Cancon March

### Our Team



#### Our Team Captain



### Our Team

- Susan Gerhardt, MSN, RN, Nursing Director, STICU/NSICU, UHS
- Jason Gourlas, BS, PA-C, Faculty Associate, UTHSCSA
- Charles Reed, MSN, RN, Patient Care Coordinator, STICU/NSICU
- Henri Stewart, BSN, RN, Staff Nurse, STICU
- Heather Carefoot, BSN, RN, Staff Nurse, STICU
- Randy Beadle, BSN, RN, Patient Care Coordinator, STICU/NSICU
- Nanette Larson, BSN, RN, Patient Care Coordinator, STICU/NSICU
- John Myers, MD, FACS, Associate Professor, UTHSCSA

And all of the nursing staff in the Surgical Trauma ICU at UHS without whom we could not accomplish our goal.

## **Aim Statement**

Our original Aim Statement:

- Reduce the incidence of self-extubation (SE) in the Surgical Trauma Intensive Care Unit (STICU) by 50% in 4 months.
- Due to the complexity of human and system factors our Aim Statement was revised to:
  - Seek to understand the reasons for SE in the STICU and then reduce SE by 50% by the end of 2010.

## Background

- Unplanned extubation (UE) includes SE and accidental extubation (AE).
- Unplanned extubations (UE) have been reported to occur in 1 to 16% of patients<sup>1-3</sup>
- Complications of UE include laryngospasm, laryngeal edema, aspiration pneumonia, bronchospasm, respiratory failure, and sometimes results in death.<sup>2</sup>
- Rates of adverse events following UE have been reported as ranging from 5% to 28%<sup>3</sup>
- SE results in prolonged mechanical ventilation (MV), longer ICU and hospital stay, and increased need for care<sup>1-3</sup>

1 Krinsley, J. & Barone, J. (2005). The Drive to Survive. CHEST vol. 128 (2) 560-566

2 Epstein, S., Nevins, M., & Chung, J. (2000). Effect of Unplanned Extubation on Outcome of Mechanical Ventilation American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine: Vol 161(6), 1912-1916

3Atkins, P., Mion, L., Mendelson, W., Palmer, R., Slomka , J., & Franko, T. (1997). Characteristics and outcomes of patients who self-extubate from ventilatory support: a case control study, *Chest* 112(5) (pp. 1317–1323.

## **Selected Project Analysis Tools**

We realized that nurses and mid-levels/physicians might have two different but important perspectives so, both groups went through the process separately.

- Flowchart: We chose to use this tool because it allowed us to visualize the "As Is Process" for dealing with mechanically ventilated patients from arrival to the ICU to liberation from the ventilator.
- Fishbone: We looked at multiple factors that increase the risk for or set up patients to SE. Ours looked more like a whalebone diagram.
- Brainstorming : When both were completed, we got together and looked for similarities.
- We gathered data on our rates of SE and the circumstances surrounding them.

#### AS IS Process For Care of Intubated Patient on SICU as of May 11, 2010















## The Results

- We gleaned from these tools and looking at the data of our patients involved in SE that, although our sedation protocol wasn't being used, it was also inadequate.
- There was no standardization in our spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) process resulting in patients possibly remaining intubated longer than necessary.
- The literature that we looked at corroborated that SE was associated with inadequate sedation. It also suggested that timely provider extubation would reduce SE. <sup>4-5</sup>

<sup>4.</sup> Moons P, Sels K, De Becker W, De Geest S, Ferdinand P: Development of a risk assessment tool for deliberate self-extubation in intensive care patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2004 Jul;30(7): 1348-55

<sup>5.</sup> Chevron M, Ménard JF, Richard JC, Girault C, Lerory J, Bonmarchand, G: Unplanned extubation: risk factors of development and predictive criteria for re-intubation. *Crit Care Med* 1998 Jun; 26(6): 1049-53

#### Interventions

- We revamped our sedation protocol and order sets for sedatives/analgesics. This provided the nursing staff more direction regarding titration to a patient's needs. It also serves as a reference should orders prove to be inappropriate/ inadequate.
- We instituted a plan involving the charge nurses and unit secretaries to better capture the incidence of SE.
- We formulated a SBT algorithm to coincide with our sedation protocol.



2. The SCC provider (PG3 or Midlevel) should be immediately called to the bedside to evaluate the patient once there is a change in clinical status including

but not limited to agitation, fighting the ventilator, O2 desaturation, or awake and able to follow commands). 3. After the physician or the nurse has evaluated the patient, the infusion(s) THAT ARE NECESSARY for adequate patient sedation and or analgesia is (are)

re-started at 1/2 the previous dose(s) and then titrated up as necessary to the minimal effective dose(s).

A spontaneous breathing trial should be done in conjunction with the daily sedation holiday.

Please refer to Spontaneous Breathing Trial Protocol for exceptions

CONTRAINDICATIONS TO SEDATION INTERRUPTION:

Undergoing active treatment for elevated ICP - Receiving neuromuscular blocking agents - Hypoxemia PEEP > 10 or FiO2 > 60% - ARDS Patients identified at increased risk of self-extubation should not be turned during the sedation interruption.\*\*

#### STICU Spontaneous Breathing Trial (SBT) Algorithm

Schedule odd numbered rooms at 03:00, and even numbered rooms at 05:00 Spontaneous breathing trial should be done in conjunction with the daily sedation interruption



**Extubations / vent days every quarter** 



# **Beginning Steps**

Teaching:

- Two staff nurses created a teaching tool and, with a group of experienced nurses, taught each nurse individually how to use the sedation/analgesia and SBT protocols.
- Residents were educated as well regarding the proper use of these protocols.
- Both protocols were put on the UHS Clinical Portal for easy reference by staff.

## Teaching



## Metrics for Potential ROI

- A ventilator day costs approximately \$8,000.
- SE requiring re-intubation has been associated with longer total time of mechanical ventilation (17 vs. 6 days), increased ICU stay (22 vs. 9 days) and increased hospital stay (34 vs. 18 days).<sup>6</sup>
- If we can prevent even one SE requiring reintubation the potential for ROI is significant.

<sup>6.</sup> De Lassence A, Alberti C, Le Miere E, Cheval C, Cohen, Y, Garrouste-orgeas M, Adrie C, Troche G, Timsit G: Impact of unplanned extubation and reintubation after weaning on a nosocomial pneumonia risk in the intensive care unit: a prospective multicenter trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2002 Jul; 97(1): 148-56.

## **Into the Future**

In this process, other variables have been identified as impacting not only SE but also the unplanned removal of other medical devices:

- Inadequate or incorrectly applied restraint devices
- Need for protocol to assess and treat delirium
  - Non pharmacologic interventions
  - Validated delirium scale

## In the future: Coed beds

Coed beds: Provides 1:1 to prevent self extubation by providing companion support as well as increases patient satisfaction



### In the future: Sharing oxygen



## In the future: Nicotine impregnated ETT

