

Food Allergy Testing

Ronald M. Ferdman, M.D., M.Ed. Children's Hospital Los Angeles Division of Clinical Immunology and Allergy



Children's Hospital LOS'ANGELES Disclosure

- I have no relevant financial relationships with the manufacturer(s) of any commercial product(s) and/or provider of commercial services discussed in this CME activity.
- I do not intend to discuss an unapproved / investigative use of a commercial product / device in my presentation.

Learning Objectives

After completion of this activity, participants will be able to:

- Recognize the importance of accurate food allergy testing in children
- List the variables that influence the interpretation of food allergy blood tests
- Recognize unproven methods for food allergy testing







- May be higher in selected groups
- + Atopic dermatitis (eczema) ~30-50+%

Allergy Clin Immunol. 2007;120:638-46; Sicherer SH. J Allergy Clin I

Children's Quality of Life in Families with Food Allergy

- <u>Children</u> with food allergies report worse QOL scores compared to those with irritable bowel synd., rheumatologic diseases, & diabetes
 - In general, the most affected scores are in social (especially social interaction), emotional (e.g. fear) and psychosocial (e.g. anxiety) scales
 But also "bodily pain", "general health" & "vitality"
- <u>Parents</u> of food-allergic children also report worse scores on QOL surveys

Bullying Due to Food Allergies



- Of 353 respondents (parents and kids) 24% reported being bullied, teased or harassed due to their food allergies
 - Verbal
 - Physical: allergen thrown at them, their food purposely contaminated with allergen



Nutritional Consequences

- Failure to thrive
- Macronutrient deficiencies – Protein (kwashiorkor)
- Micronutrient deficiencies
 - Calcium with rickets & hypocalcemic sz's
 - Iron deficiency with anemia, zinc
 - Multiple vitamins- D, B-complex, E
- Electrolyte abnormalities

Importance of Accurate Food Allergy Testing in Children

- The frequency and severity of food allergic reactions is truly increasing
 - But...the frequency of food allergies are also overestimated by patients
- Food allergies have a significant negative impact on children / families
- Food allergies have significant economic costs for individuals and the system
- May have significant nutritional consequences

Accurate Food Allergy Testing in Children

- Impact of <u>false positive</u> diagnosis: – Unnecessary negative psychosocial,
 - financial & nutritional consequences
- Impact of <u>false negative</u> diagnosis:
 - Ongoing risk for exposure to food and potential serious allergic reactions or worsening chronic disease

How To Diagnose Food Allergies

- History and physical exam
- Specific food tests

Children's Hospital

- Blood tests (IgE and non-IgE)
- Skin testing (prick and patch)
- Food challenges
- Unproven testing methods







History Suggestive of Food Allergy

Immediate onset of symptoms

 Usually minutes, occasionally hours

Children's Hospital LOS ANGELES-

- Rarely sev. hours-days (certain GI, eczema)
- Multiple systems (resp., skin, GI)

 Isolated single system can occur
- Happens each time food is eaten in same form
- Does not occur if food is not eaten
- Very small amounts can trigger symptoms
- Responsive to allergy therapy

Without History Not Suggestive of Food Allergy

- Delayed onset of symptoms
 - Day or days
- Prolonged duration (days to weeks)
- Only occurs with large quantities of food, or "cumulative" effect over days
- Occurs even when food is not eaten
- Doesn't occur each time food is eaten

 In same "form" (low-heat vs high-heat)
- Atypical symptoms ('hyper', bruising, fever, etc)





Benefits of a Comprehensive Food Allergy History

- Can be "diagnostic" in some cases - Symptom + food diary
- Guide specific food tests

Children's Hospital Los ANGELES-

• Confirm the validity of food tests









Skin Testing

- Rapid and safe assessment of food-specific IgE
- Skin prick testing (SPT)

Children's Hospital

- "Scratch" tests \rightarrow antiquated method
- Intradermal (ID) food tests not recommended
 ID tests are still performed selectively for aeroallergens, medications and venoms, but not for foods
- Scoring: size (mm) of wheal (less so flare)
- "Prick-Prick" testing useful for fresh food testing (especially fruits and vegetables)
 – Prick food - then prick patient
- Blocked by H1-antagonists





can NOT make the diagnosis of food

allergy based solely on an elevated IgE astorella EA. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1995;96:580-7; Golden DBK. J Allergy Clin mnunol. 2011;128:852-4 (e1-e23).

Food-Specific IgE Testing (not a very good test)

 Sensitivity of IgE tests is generally high

 Very good at showing what patient is <u>NOT</u> allergic to (high negative predictive value (NPV))

Children's Hospital LOS ANGELES.

- Skin test NPV (>90%) > blood IgE NPV (~75-90%)
- Specificity for "random" screen IgE tests is low - Low positive predictive value (PPV) ~<50%
- Specificity & PPV of test improves when using "targeted" testing guided by clinical history.
 - Dependent on level of IgE, specific food, clinical hx
 PPV may be as high as >95%



- General perception
 - The more positive the test (bigger size skin test, high value of blood lgE) → the more severe the food allergy
- More accurate perception
 - The more positive the test (bigger size skin test, high value of blood lgE) \rightarrow the <u>more</u> <u>likely</u> the patient is allergic to the food

Volter Calibration of Food-Specific IgE Blood Tests

- Level of IgE
- Specific food
- Age of child
- Underlying disease
- Clinical history
- Preparation of the food (milk, egg)





Children's Hospital Los ANGELES We Treat Kids Better Ch	redictive ildren wit	Values h Susp	for CAP I ected Foo	RAST for
Food	90% Spec.	PPV	95% NPV	90% NPV
Protein	(kU _A /L)	%	(kU _A /L)	(kU _A /L)
Egg	7 (2*)	98	-	0.6
Milk	15 (5*)	95	0.8	1
Peanut	14	95	Best NPV = 85% @ 0.35	Best NPV = 85% @ 0.35
Fish	20	100	0.9	5
Soy	30	73	2	5
Wheat	26	74	5	9
	* = ≤ 2 year ol	d		
npson HA. J Alle nunol. 2010:125	ergy Clin Immunol. :a116-s125	2001;107:891-	6; Sicherer SH. J /	Allergy Clin







Children's Predictive Values for CAP RAST for Children with <u>Atopic Dermatitis</u>				
Food	95% PPV	90% PPV	95% NPV	90% NPV
Protein	(kU _A /L)	(kU _A /L)	(kU _A /L)	(kU _A /L)
Egg	6	2	-	0.6
Milk	32	23	0.8	1
Peanut	15	9	Best NPV = 85% @ 0.35	Best NPV = 85% @ 0.35
Fish	20	9.5	0.9	5
Soy	Best PPV = 50% @ 65	-	2	5
Wheat	Best PPV = 75% @ 100	-	5	79
npson HA. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001;107:891-6; Sicherer SH. J Allergy Clin munol. 2010:125:a116-s125				



ſ	Children Washington Washing				
l		History of past reaction to peanut			
İ.	lgE (kU/L)	Total	Failed Challenge		
L	<0.35	38	24%		
L	0.36 - 1.9	38	56%		
L	2 – 4.9	27	60%		
L	>5	7	100%		
L					
F	Perry TT. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;114:144.				















Children's Hospital LOS ANGELES

What Foods?

- Should generally avoid testing for large "random" food panels
 - Should be driven by medical history
- Should generally avoid testing for foods that are currently being tolerated
- 90% of childhood food allergies – Milk, eggs, peanut, wheat, soy
- 90% of adult food allergies
- Shellfish, peanuts, tree nuts, seafood

ALLERGY TO:	RELATED FOOD	APPROXIMATE RATE OF SENSITIVITY	APPROXIMATE CLINICAL REACTION RATE
Peanut	Other legumes (beans + soybean)	19-79%	3-5%
A Tree Nut	Other tree nuts	92%	12-37% , higher for: walnut-pecan almond-hazelnut cashew-pistachio
A Tree Nut	Peanut	59-86%	33%
Codfish	Another fish	5-100%	30-85%
Shrimp	Other crustacea	50-100%	38%
Crustacea	Mollusk	47%	14%
A mollusk	Other mullusks		49%
Wheat	Another grain	47-85%	20% (eczema)
Cow's milk	Goat / sheep milk Mare's milk	20-100%	>90% 5%
Hen's egg	Other eggs		Common (90%)



Children's Hospital Los ANGELES.

Oral Food Challenge

- Gold standard for diagnosis of food allergy – Double blind placebo controlled (DBPCFC)
 - Open challenge, single blind
- When history and testing are inconclusive - Test for "outgrowing" of certain food allergies
- In properly selected patients, risk is low
 - Should be done under adequate supervisionHome "challenge -- de-challenge" diets, for
 - very low risk

Children's Hospital LOS ANGELES

Children's Hospital

ANA

- A 10 year old boy presents with a fever
- Chemistry panel: ANA 1:80 - Normal lab cut-off = <1:40
- You make the diagnosis of lupus and prescribe methotrexate
- 5-20% of the general population have a positive ANA
- Need more than a test result alone to make the diagnosis of lupus

avanaugh A. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2000;24:71-81

lgG4

- 10 year old boy presents with abdominal pain
- IgG4 to milk, wheat, egg, beef, soy and chicken are elevated
- You advise elimination of all these foods from his diet
- Can detect IgG to foods in up to >80% of the population (increases with exposure)
- Most studies show IgG4 increases as children begin to outgrow allergy

;125:1315-21; Cerecedo I. J Allergy Clin

Unproven Methods for Food Allergy Testing

- "Valid" test, but not to diagnose allergy – IgG (especially IgG4) tests
 - Basophil histamine release (research)

Non-standardized or unproven

- Lymphocyte stimulation / cytotoxic tests
- Mediator release assay (LEAP) Applied kinesiology
- Electrodermal testing (Vega) Reagenic pulse
 Provocation/neutralization Iridology
- Provocation/neutralizationFacial thermography

Children's Hospital LOS ANGELES-

— Hair analysis

















Conventional Vs Component Testing

- Conventional 'RAST' (or skin test)

 Extract mixture of multiple proteins, some allergenic and some not
- Component-resolved diagnostics (CRD)
 - Multiple individual proteins (chosen for clinical relevance) either isolated from natural source or produced recombinantly
 Microarray chip











Food Testing Summary

• There is a significant impact on many levels for families with true food allergies, as well as with falsely identified or missed food allergies

Children's Hospital Los Angeles-

- Food allergy testing should be guided by history

 Should generally not test for random panel of foods, or for foods that are being tolerated
- Tests for food-specific IgE (skin or blood) are the only validated test in the majority of clinical contexts
- Food allergy-specific IgE blood tests should be interpreted in context of validated predictive values and clinical scenarios
- Multiple non-IgE food "allergy" tests are available which have unproven and disproven validity